#### **EOU Student Health Center**

#### **2010-2011 Annual Report**

#### **Unit Goals for 2010-2011**

Six unit goals were met during this academic year (AY). Results have been entered into TracDat. Unit goals for 2011-2012 will be based on the 2010-2011 goals and refined in July at the Student Affairs retreat. (Appendix A)

#### Utilization

EOU experienced an increased enrollment and the Student Health Center (SHC) experienced an increase in utilization. Overall, there was an 8% increase in patient visits from 2009-2010 academic year. Women's health and other wellness related physical exams were fewer by 4 from the previous year, but sports physicals increased by 29 to a total of 48. Acute and chronic care visits increased by 10%. There was a decrease in Wellness/Behavioral visits during spring term due to the resignation of the RN. Nurse practitioners experienced an increase in visits that may have included wellness and behavioral content. See Appendix B for an itemized table of utilization comparing AY 2010-2011 with AY 2009-2010.

#### Wellness and Behavioral

The activities of the wellness nurse were reported in the fall and winter quarterly reports. The activities below reflect the involvement of the nurse practitioners and one nursing faculty in SHC wellness outreach during spring term. Two junior nursing students participated in several on-campus wellness and illness prevention activities as part of their community health clinical spring term. The students worked with Carrie Lane, FNP, on the development of a peer mentoring program. The table below represents the involvement of providers in wellness-focused events in spring term.

Activity	#events/days
SART	2
Safety Committee	1
TB Screening Pre-Professional Students	2
No Strings Attached (1 meeting preparation, 1 event)	2
Health Fair (1 meeting, 1 event) assisted by Linda	2
Densmore, nursing faculty	
Spring Fling = 2 event days (Linda Densmore assisted)	2
Mountaineer Days	3

Nursing Students supervision and direction	10+
Voice Articles (4 articles written; 2 published)	4/2

#### **Patient Satisfaction**

Patient satisfaction surveys were conducted each term. Results were consistently in the excellent to good range. Please see Appendix C for results.

#### **Peer Chart Review**

Each provider participated in quality assurance activities using peer chart review. A summary prepared by Carrie Lane, FNP is found in Appendix D.

#### Administration

- Interviews are currently underway to fill the Health & Wellness Coordinator position. A qualified candidate will be hired to begin Fall term.
- An upgrade to the electronic medical record is in process. It is anticipated that the new system will be operational by fall.
- Staffing remains stable.
- All computers (except one) are now supplied by EOU and will be maintained by the EOU IT department.

Submitted by Muriel Shaul

## Appendix A Unit Goals

#### **Unit Goals 2010-2011**

Indicator: Student Health Center to participate in campus effort/response to contagious disease outbreaks and health emergencies

Indicator: Student Health Center to develop health promotion/outreach program

Indicator: Student Health Center to develop, review and revise clinical policies and procedures

Indicator: Student Health Center to provide clinically competent health services that are easy for eligible students to access

Indicator: Student Health Center to implement new clinic programs as appropriate (i.e., CCARE, vaccinations)

Indicator: Student Health Center to Increase communication and facilitate collaboration with unit staff and other student affairs units

## Appendix B Utilization Information

ACADEMIC YEAR 2010-2011					ACADEMIC YEAR 2009 - 2010				
	FALL	WINTER	SPRING	TOTAL		FALL	WINTER	SPRING	TOTAL
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2011</u>			<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2010</u>	
NUMBER DAYS OPEN	59	54	54	167	NUMBER DAYS OPEN	59	52	51	162
NUMBER OF CLINIC HOURS PATIENTS SEEN (includes RN	368	345	345	1058	NUMBER OF CLINIC HOURS PATIENTS SEEN (Includes RN	298	339.5	322	959.5
visits)	724	572	510	1806	visits)	660	535	465	1660
					<u>VISITS SUMMARY</u>				
Physicals (GYN and Wellness)	34	29	36	99	Physicals (GYN and Wellness)	35	34	34	103
Sports Physicals	30	6	12	48	Sports Physicals	13	3	3	19
Acute and Chronic Care	590	488	459	1537	Acute and Chronic Care	451	498	428	1377
Wellness, Behavioral Health	70	56	3	129					
Office Procedures:					Office Procedures:				
Laceration 0-2.5 Simple Scalp Paring or Cut Benign Keratotic	0	0	1	1	Laceration 0-2.5 Simple, Scalp	0	0	0	0
Lesion	0	0	0	0	Paring or Cut Benign Keratotic Les	eion		1	1
I&D Abscess Simple	2	1	0	3	I&D Abscess Simple		1		1
Biopsy Lesion One Foreign Body Removal Soft	1	1	0	2	Foreign Body Removal Soft				
Tissue	0	0	0	0	Tissue	6			6
Excision Skin Lesion to 15 Excision/Destruction Lesion	0	0	0	0	Excision Skin Lesion to 15	6	5	1	12
1st	8	3	4	15	Excision/Destruction Lesion 1st		1	1	2
Excision/Destruction Lesion	47	11	4	62	Excision/Destruction Lesion 2-14		5	1	6

2-14									
Destruction of Flat Wart up to 14 Ear Irrigation One or Both	1	1	1	3	Destruction of Flat Wart up to 14	2	2	4	8
Ears	2	8	1	11	Ear Irrigation One or Both Ears		1		1
Peak Flow Meter	1	1	1	3	Peak Flow Meter	1	2		3
Nebulizer TX	1	3	2	6	Nebulizer TX		2	1	3
Spirometry	0	0	1	1	Spirometry		1	2	3
Pulseoximetry Single	<u>14</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>35</u>	Pulseoximetry Single	<u>3</u>	4	5	<u>12</u>
Total Procedures	77	45	20	142	Total Procedures	<u>18</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Laboratory:</u>					<u>Laboratory:</u>				
Blood Drawing/Venipuncture	90	55	40	185	Blood Drawing/Venipuncture	<b>50</b>	48	40	138
Urinalysis with Microscope	13	14	14	41	Urinalysis with Microscope	6	18	10	34
UA/Dip	16	14	12	42	UA/Dip	7	14	7	28
Pregnancy Test Urine	16	11	3	30	Pregnancy Test Urine	3	11	8	22
Influenza Rapid Test		2	0	2					
Occult Blood 1-3	1	0	1	2	Occult Blood 1-3	3	1	1	5
Wet Mount/Gram Stain	4	5	1	10	Wet Mount/Gram Stain	2	2	5	9
Glucose by One Touch	3	0	1	4	Glucose by One Touch	5	17	0	22
Quick Strep	<u>15</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>64</u>	Quick Strep	<u>12</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>73</u>
Total Laboratory	68	128	94	290	Total Laboratory	<u>88</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>331</u>
Immunizations:					<u>Immunizations:</u>				
TB Skin Test, Intradermal	<b>53</b>	7	29	89	TB Skin Test, Intradermal	39	11	7	57
Influenza	37	9	0	46	Influenza	48	4		<b>52</b>

Tetanus	0	0	0	0	Tetanus				0
MMR	2	1	2	5	MMR	20			20
Tdap	2	2	8	12	Tdap	7	3	1	11
DTaP, HEPB, IPV	0	0	0	0	DTaP, HEPB, IPV	3			3
Hep B Adult 19+	6	1	3	10	Hep B Adult 19+	<u>17</u>	<u>3</u>		<u>20</u>
HPV	<u>86</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>123</u>					
Total Immunizations	186	45	54	285	Total Immunizations	<u>134</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>163</u>
Injections:					Injections:				
Depo-Provera 150 Mg	3	9	8	20	Depo-Provera 150 Mg	3	3	1	7
Toradol 15Mg IM	<u>0</u>		<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	Toradol 15Mg IM				<u>o</u>
Total Injections	3	9	9	21	Total Injections	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>7</u>
					EKG				0
Medications Prescribed Filled	232	218	191	641	Medications Prescribed Filled at	142	189	157	488
at Time of Appt Birth Control Refill Request	434	210	171	041	Time of Appt (*I) Birth Control Refill Request No	144	109	137	400
No Appointment	39	80	71	190	Appointment (*)	76	57	62	195

## Appendix C Quarterly Client Satisfaction Surveys

EOU STUDENT HEALTH CENTER CLIENT SATISFACTION SURVEY RESULTS AND APPOINTMENT PUNCTUALITY SURVEY FALL TERM, 2010

		VERY		BELOW AVERA		NO
SATISFACTION WITH CARE:	EXCELLENT	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	GE	POOR	RESPONSE
CARE AT THE CLINIC	82%					18%
PERSONAL MANNER (COURTESY, RESPECT, SENSITIVITY, FRIENDLINESS) OF FRONT DESK RECEPTIONIST	91%	9%				
PERSONAL MANNER (COURTESY, RESPECT, SENSITIVITY, FRIENDLINESS) OF PROVIDER	91%	9%				
TECHNICAL SKILLS (THOROUGHNESS, CAREFULNESS, COMPETENCE) OF THE <b>PROVIDER</b>	91%	9%				
YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN DECISIONS REGARDING YOUR CARE	82%		18%			
INFORMATION OR PATIENT EDUCATION THAT YOU RECEIVED DURING YOUR VISIT	82%	9%	9%			
HOW CONFIDENT DO YOU FEEL IN THE CARE	91%	9%				

## PROVIDED BY THE PERSON YOU SAW?

**RESPONSIVENESS AND** 

PUNCTUALITY IN MEETING 64% 18% 9% 9%

YOUR NEEDS (I.E. WERE YOU ABLE

TO BE SEEN IN

A REASONABLE TIME FRAME?

 0-4 MINUTE WAIT
 50%

 5-9 MINUTE WAIT
 21%

 10-15 MINUTE WAIT
 26%

 16-20 MINUTE WAIT
 3%

 WALK IN APPOINTMENT
 6%

 LATE FOR APPOINTMENT
 12%

Patients generally seen same day or next day. Physical appointments same week or one week.

Comments or suggestions to improve health team performance in meeting healthcare needs:

- \* Nope, Very Happy.
- \* None

## If there was anything or anyone you were particularly happy or unhappy with, please elaborate:

- \* Thank you.
- \* I had a meeting at 11:20 to get my blood pressure checked and it took over an hour and a half to get it checked.
- \* Getting blood drawn very painful to the point of nausea.
- \* Angie rudeness.
- \* Everyone is wonderful!

#### **DEMOGRAPHIC AND VISIT**

**INFORMATION:** 

Gender: Male 36% Female 64%

Marital Status:

<sup>\*</sup> Overall good place

Married Divorced Single	18% 9% 73%
Age:	
17-20	82%
21-22	9%
41-50	9%
Ethnic Background:	
White	91%
Hispanic or Latino	9%
Year in School:	
Freshman	55%
Sophomore	9%
Junior	27%
Senior	9%
Frequency of Visits:	
0-1	36%
2-4	27%
5-8	18%
More than 8	18%
Type of visits:	
Acute Problems	29%
Physical Exam including women's	100/
health	18%
Counseling	6%
Anti Depressants	6%
Health Screen	12% 6%
Sleep Disorder	
Second Opinion	6%
HPV Immunization Health Food Plan	6% 6%
Immunizations	6%
IIIIIIUIIIZAUUIIS	0%

# EOU STUDENT HEALTH CENTER CLIENT SATISFACTION SURVEY RESULTS AND APPOINTMENT PUNCTUALITY SURVEY WINTER TERM 2011

46 RESPONSES WEEK OF FEB 14-18, 2011

WINTER TERM ZOTT		VERY		BELOW		NO
SATISFACTION WITH CARE:	EXCELLENT	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	AVERAGE	POOR	RESPONSE
CARE AT THE CLINIC	76.09%	19.57%	4.35%			
PERSONAL MANNER (COURTESY, RESPECT, SENSITIVITY, FRIENDLINESS) OF <b>FRONT</b> <b>DESK</b> <b>RECEPTIONIST</b>	80.43%	13.04%	4.35%			2.17%
PERSONAL MANNER (COURTESY, RESPECT, SENSITIVITY, FRIENDLINESS) OF PROVIDER	84.78%	6.52%	4.35%			4.35%
TECHNICAL SKILLS (THOROUGHNESS, CAREFULNESS, COMPETENCE) OF THE <b>PROVIDER</b>	84.78%	6.52%	4.35%			4.35%
YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN DECISIONS REGARDING YOUR CARE	78.26%	15.22%	2.17%			4.35%
INFORMATION OR PATIENT EDUCATION THAT YOU RECEIVED DURING YOUR VISIT	69.57%	19.57%	6.52%			4.35%
HOW CONFIDENT DO YOU FEEL IN THE CARE PROVIDED BY THE PERSON YOU SAW?	78.26%	8.70%	8.70%			4.35%

RESPONSIVENESS AND PUNCTUALITY IN

MEETING 80.43% 8.70% 8.70%

YOUR NEEDS (I.E. WERE YOU ABLE TO BE

SEEN IN

A REASONABLE TIME FRAME?

46 Random Client Times:	Patients Arrived Early & Seen Early:
-------------------------	--------------------------------------

	28.26		
0-4 MINUTE WAIT	%	SEEN EARLY 0-4 MINUTES	2.17%
	26.09		19.57
5-9 MINUTE WAIT	%	SEEN EARLY 5-9 MINUTES	%
	15.22		
10-15 MINUTE WAIT	%	SEEN EARLY 10-15 MINUTES	2.17%
16-20 MINUTE WAIT	2.17%	SEEN EARLY 16-20 MINUTES	2.17%
WALK IN APPOINTMENT	2.17%		
LATE FOR APPOINTMENT	0		

Patients generally seen same day or next day. Physical appointments same week or one to two weeks.

#### Comments or suggestions to improve health team performance in meeting healthcare needs:

Keep it up!

I was put in an appointment on No Notice - So

Thankful.

Maybe bigger place w/more staff.

Very good service - I'm seen in a timely fashion.

Excellent.

Would like more education on problem.

None I can think of.

She was very nice.

#### If there was anything or anyone you were particularly happy or unhappy with, please elaborate:

Wonderful staff! Always make visits effective, efficient and

enjoyable!

Everything went great!!

Not sure of her name but the doctor I saw for my ear infection was great and the nurse is always very polite.

Woman at the Front Desk was very helpful.

All the service from everyone was amazing!

2.17%

How accommodating they are (staff). Angie has been very helpful and always very positive!

Were patient with me.

Carrie is great and very helpful.

No - everyone was great.

Angie - nice consultation.

#### **DEMOGRAPHIC AND VISIT INFORMATION:**

DEMOCRALITIE AND VISIT IN ORMATION.	
Gender: Male	33%
Female	63%
No Response	4%
Marital Status:	
Married	4%
Divorced	0%
Single	85%
Other	4%
No Response	7%
Age:	
17-20	39%
21-22	30%
23-30	17%
31-40	2%
41- over	2%
No Response	9%
Ethnic Background:	
White	83%
Hispanic or Latino	4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	2%
Black or African American	4%
No Response	7%
Year in School:	
Freshman	30%
Sophomore	13%
17-20 21-22 23-30 31-40 41- over No Response  Ethnic Background: White Hispanic or Latino American Indian and Alaska Native Black or African American No Response  Year in School: Freshman	30% 17% 2% 2% 9% 83% 4% 2% 4% 7%

Junior	28%
Senior	15%
Graduate Program	7%
No Response	7%
Frequency of Visits:	
0-1	30%
2-4	33%
5-8	20%
More than 8	9%
No Response	9%

### Type of visits:

Acute Problems
Physical Exam including women's health

Counseling HPV Immunization Health Food Plan Immunizations Chronic Illness Headaches Weight Loss

## EOU STUDENT HEALTH CENTER CLIENT SATISFACTION SURVEY RESULTS AND APPOINTMENT PUNCTUALITY SURVEY SPRING TERM 2011

40 RESPONSES WEEK OF MAY 9-13,, 2011

		VERY		BELOW		NO
SATISFACTION WITH CARE:	EXCELLENT	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	AVERAGE	POOR	RESPONSE
CARE AT THE CLINIC	65.00%	32.50%		2.00%		
PERSONAL MANNER (COURTESY, RESPECT, SENSITIVITY, FRIENDLINESS) OF <b>FRONT DESK RECEPTIONIST</b>	78.00%	20.00%	2.00%			
PERSONAL MANNER (COURTESY, RESPECT, SENSITIVITY, FRIENDLINESS) OF <b>PROVIDER</b>	75.00%	20.00%	5.00%			
TECHNICAL SKILLS (THOROUGHNESS, CAREFULNESS, COMPETENCE) OF THE <b>PROVIDER</b>	67.50%	25.00%	5.00%	2.50%		
YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN DECISIONS REGARDING YOUR CARE	62.50%	30.00%	5.00%	2.50%		
INFORMATION OR PATIENT EDUCATION THAT YOU RECEIVED DURING YOUR VISIT	62.50%	25.00%	10.00%	2.50%		
HOW CONFIDENT DO YOU FEEL IN THE CARE PROVIDED BY THE PERSON YOU SAW?	65.00%	25.00%	7.50%	2.50%		

RESPONSIVENESS AND PUNCTUALITY IN

MEETING 55.00% 35.00% 7.50% 2.50%

YOUR NEEDS (I.E. WERE YOU ABLE TO BE

**SEEN IN** 

A REASONABLE TIME FRAME?

Schedule is very full, however, providers see as many acute walk-in problems as possible after triage.

Physical appointments are one to two weeks; other appointments are one to three days.

# Comments or suggestions to improve health team performance in meeting healthcare needs:

The only problem I would say is the confidentiality of patients.

No. It was great.

Great job!

Just give more advice or patient information if possible each time the patient has a problem.

# If there was anything or anyone you were particularly happy or unhappy with, please elaborate:

Happy with everyone at the clinic.

I love Melanie, Ginny and Carrie!

Carrie always has a smile on, which is nice.

Carrie is an amazing doctyor and is very helpful

and friendly.

Everyone is really helpful! My health has been an issue and the clinic went beyond their duties to help!

Ginny has been amazing. She is very nice. The person at the Front Desk was very helpful.

Saw me almost immediately with severe issue!

## **DEMOGRAPHIC AND VISIT INFORMATION:**

Gender: Male	37.50%		
Female	62.50%		
Marital Status:			
	E0/		
Married	5%		
Divorced	0=0/		
Single	95%		
Age:			
17-20	55%	31-40%	5%
21-22	30%	41 +	0%
23-30	10%		
Ethnic Background:			
		African	
White	90%	American	2%
Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islands	8%		
Year in School:			
Freshman	35.00%		
Sophomore	12.50%		
Junior	25.00%		
Senior	25.00%		
Grad Program	2.50%		
Frequency of Visits:			
0-1	25%		
2-4	40%		
5-8	20%		
More than 8	15%		

Type of visits:

Acute Problems (sore throat, flu, colds, strep throat)
Physical Exams
Immunizations
Weight Loss/Nutrition
Depression

## Appendix D EOU SHC Peer Chart Review Summary

#### **EOU SHC Peer Chart Review Summary AY 2010-11**

Quality assurance of the care provided at the SHC also includes periodic review of the healthcare provider's documentation of their patient encounters. These encounters are currently documented using an electronic medical record (EMR). The peer-review process of evaluating care and documentation of selected patient encounters was completed using a Review Instrument (Appendix B).

Each provider reviewed nine charts that were randomly chosen by chart number from patients seen in clinic that term (three charts for each provider). Based on the NP peer-review of patient encounters for the three providers, all encounters had adequate documentation of the identified measures. For the majority of measures evaluated, the reviewers gave a  $\sqrt{\text{or}} + \text{rating}$ . Areas noted to need improvement are as follows:

- Verification of current medications and allergy reactions
  - Recommendation: providers should verify and update these items more frequently in the individual patient encounters, and not rely on previous entries in the EMR
- Health education documentation; while given to the patient either verbally or through written material at the patient visit, was not consistently documented in the patient encounter
  - > Recommendation: health education dispensed at patient visit should be noted in the plan portion of the encounter
- Encounter notes are sometimes difficult to scan for pertinent information when non-pertinent health history is included in individual notes
  - Recommendation: include only pertinent health history information in encounter notes and verify that health history has been transcribed from patient forms to the appropriate areas in the EMR

Feedback was given to providers on areas needing improvement. It is recommended that providers continue to review, discuss and revise as needed the appropriate standards of care and documentation for the patient encounters at the SHC. The SHC providers will also be reviewing and revising the peer-review process and instrument for the 2011-12 academic year to ensure that the instrument provides the data needed to accurately assess the integrity of patient charts.