The Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges produced a report: "Consequential Boards, Adding Value Where It Matters Most." Our initial board training incorporated material from this study. As the Governance Sub-committee develops its priorities, we should revisit this report and its recommendations. The full report can be found at <a href="http://agb.org/sites/default/files/legacy/2014\_AGB\_National\_Commission.pdf">http://agb.org/sites/default/files/legacy/2014\_AGB\_National\_Commission.pdf</a>

Overall, the report makes seven key recommendations. As we gain maturity as a governing body, we should consider how these recommendations relate to our board and its priorities. Below is a draft of an initial analysis. Input from the Sub-committee is needed to review and complete this matrix.

AGB Recommendation	Our Situation	Open Questions	Action Items
1. Boards must improve value in their institutions and lead a restoration of public trust in higher education itself.	EOU must build trust throughout our region of impact. Questions by key constituencies like legislators, faculty, parents and students about our unique value must be addressed.	Can we increase student success without decreasing academic standards?	We need explicit, measurable goals for institutional value.
2. Boards must add value to institutional leadership and decision making by focusing on their essential role as institutional fiduciaries.	Our board has a good awareness of financial fiduciary role and also a good awareness of conflict of interest issues. We have a stated fiduciary policy.	What is the reputation of the academy? What does the board do to change this reputation? How does the board prioritize quality vs. financial economy?	
3. Boards must act to ensure the long-term sustainability of their institutions by addressing changed finances and the imperative to deliver a high- quality education at a lower cost.	Administration and the board are quite aware and are working on this issue. Faculty and staff are aware, but concerned about implications.		We need to define a goal for decreased educational cost as experienced by the student.

4. Boards must improve shared governance within their institutions through attention to board-president relationships and a reinvigoration of faculty shared governance. Boards additionally must attend to leadership development in their institutions, both for presidents and faculty.	We have hired a president we believe in. Through the University Council we give a voice that is missing in many institutions.		We must better define how the president will be evaluated. We must support the president as he determines his leadership team.
5. Boards must improve their own capacity and functionality through increased attention to the qualifications and recruitment of members, board orientation, committee composition, and removal of members for cause.	We have not developed a robust pool of candidates for future openings on the board.	Should board members serve on more than one committee (most governance committee members have a second committee)?	We need to clearly state expectations for board members and clarify our performance standards. We need to define a training program for new board members. We need to define policies for removing board members.
6. Boards must focus their time on issues of greatest consequence to the institution by reducing time spent reviewing routine reports and redirecting attention to cross-cutting and strategic issues not addressed elsewhere.	Our board and sub-committees are new, and spend substantial time on introductory reports.		We need to respond to the president's recent leadership initiative.
7. Boards must hold themselves accountable for their own performance by modeling the same behaviors and performance they expect from others in their institutions.	To date, the board has been publicly united and open in our communication.	How does EOU's community perceive the board?	We need to define a schedule of board training activities (at board meetings, at retreats, required reading). We need to define a formal process for board assessment.