

**Analytical Paper Peer Review**

Respond to the following the following statements the following scale: 4 Strongly agree; 3 Agree; 2 Neutral; 1 Disagree; 0 Strongly Disagree. Even more important than the numerical ranking, will be short, helpful comments.

1. The authors thesis was clear, and well supported with an argument.
2. The "natural theologian's" position was clearly, thoroughly, and fairly presented.
3. The "secular naturalist's" position was clearly, thoroughly, and fairly presented.
4. The prose style was clear and easy to read.
5. The paper was free from mechanical errors

**Analytical Paper Peer Review**

Respond to the following the following statements the following scale: 4 Strongly agree; 3 Agree; 2 Neutral; 1 Disagree; 0 Strongly Disagree. Even more important than the numerical ranking, will be short, helpful comments.

1. The authors thesis was clear, and well supported with an argument.
2. The "natural theologian's" position was clearly, thoroughly, and fairly presented.
3. The "secular naturalist's" position was clearly, thoroughly, and fairly presented.
4. The prose style was clear and easy to read.
5. The paper was free from mechanical errors

