

Name:

Outcome	1 Developing (Weak)	2 Adequate (OK)	3 Proficient (Good)
Critical Thinking			
Explaining Clearly	Inconsistently identifies and explains issues	Can often identify and explain issues	Identifies and explains issues
Critical Thinking: Contexts	Ignores contexts and assumptions	Generally recognizes contexts and assumptions	Recognizes contexts and assumptions
Critical Thinking: Perspectives	Is not able to acknowledge multiple perspectives	Acknowledges perspectives other than one's own	Acknowledges multiple perspectives
Evaluating Evidence	Inconsistently evaluates evidence to reach conclusions	Can often evaluate evidence to reach conclusions	Evaluates evidence to reach conclusions
Subject Content			
Explains one of the pro-privacy opinions			
Explains one of the dissenting opinions			
Justifies the author's stand on a right to constitutional privacy with good evidence			
Writing			
Paper is well-organized			
Prose is clear			
Writing is generally free from mechanical errors			

Grade: